# Comparative *in vitro* evaluation of forage legumes (prosopis, acacia, atriplex, and leucaena) on ruminal fermentation and methanogenesis<sup>\*</sup>

## Y.A. Soltan<sup>1,2,4</sup>, A.S. Morsy<sup>1,3</sup>, S.M.A. Sallam<sup>2</sup>, H. Louvandini<sup>1</sup> and A.L. Abdalla<sup>1</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Animal Nutrition, Centre for Nuclear Energy in Agriculture, University of Sao Paulo
13400-970 Sao Paulo, Brazil
<sup>2</sup>Animal Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Alexandria 21545 Alexandria, Egypt
<sup>3</sup>Agricultural Research Centre, Animal Production Research Institute 23713 Dokki, Egypt

(Received 5 April 2012; revised version 30 September 2012; accepted 10 November 2012)

#### ABSTRACT

Two experiments *in vitro* were conducted to evaluate four Egyptian forage legume browses, i.e., leaves of prosopis (*Prosopis juliflora*), acacia (*Acacia saligna*), atriplex (*Atriplex halimus*), and leucaena (*Leucaena leucocephala*), in comparison with Tifton (*Cynodon* sp.) grass hay for their gas production, methanogenic potential, and ruminal fermentation using a semi-automatic system for gas production (first experiment) and for ruminal and post ruminal protein degradability (second experiment). Acacia and leucaena showed pronounced methane inhibition compared with Tifton, while prosopis and leucaena decreased the acetate:propionate ratio (P<0.01). Acacia and leucaena presented a lower (P<0.01) ruminal NH<sub>3</sub>-N concentration associated with the decreasing (P<0.01) ruminal protein degradability. Leucaena, however, showed higher (P<0.01) intestinal protein digestibility than acacia. This study suggests that the potential methanogenic properties of leguminous browses may be related not only to tannin content, but also to other factors.

KEY WORDS: in vitro, methane, rumen fermentation, tannins, ruminants

<sup>\*</sup> Supported by Third World of Academic Science (TWAS) and National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Corresponding author: e-mail: uosra\_eng@yahoo.com

#### INTRODUCTION

The productivity of ruminant livestock in the tropical and subtropical areas of developing countries is limited by poor nutritional conditions that are characterized by highly lignified, low digestible feed from poor and nitrogen (N)-limited native grass pastures and crop residues, or suffer from a general lack of feed during drought, or even both (Goel and Makkar, 2012).

This substandard productivity not only results in high absolute methane (CH<sub>1</sub>) emissions that make these countries responsible for almost three-quarters of enteric  $CH_{4}$  emissions, but also in a very high cost in terms of  $CH_{4}$  emissions per unit of product (Aluwong et al., 2011). This is especially the case when grass-based forages are the main ingredient in ruminants' diets. Ruminants fed grass-based forages may produce more CH<sub>4</sub> than ruminants fed legume forages (Eckard et al., 2010); this also represents a significant loss of dietary energy that could potentially be redirected towards the production of milk and meat (Eckard et al., 2010). In this respect, forage legume browses seem promising in overcoming the limitations of feed sources in many parts of the tropics as they can be grown by smallscale farmers and contain higher amounts of both degradable and undegradable protein than grasses (Goel and Makkar, 2012). Additionally, they contain natural bioactive phytofactors like tanning which, depending on their type, concentration, and activity, form natural tannin-protein complexes in these plants and have an antiprotozoal activity. This is related to the protection of dietary protein against rumen degradation by ruminal microorganisms, decreasing ammonia production, increasing the flux of dietary protein for absorption in the intestines, and may result in improving the amount of amino acids absorbed from intestinal digestion (Szumacher-Strabel and Cieślak, 2010; Goel and Makkar, 2012).

Even though these forage legumes are useful as feed alternatives to typical low-quality diets (Sallam et al., 2010), their potential in terms of  $CH_4$  mitigation in their native country is still limited. Apparently these legumes are promising for  $CH_4$  reduction partly because of their lower fibre content, faster rate of passage, and in some cases, the presence of condensed tannins (CTs) (Bhatta et al., 2012). Various studies have reported that legume CTs are able to suppress ruminal methanogenesis directly through their antimethanogenic activity and in directly through their antiprotozoal activity (Bhatta et al., 2012; Goel and Makkar, 2012). In most of those studies, however, the reduction in  $CH_4$  was confounded by concomitant changes in tannin content and forage quality (legumes vs grass), such as lower NDF content and nitrogen content. Thus, there is still considerable uncertainty about the effectiveness of forage legume tannin content to reduce enteric  $CH_4$  emissions from ruminants (Rodríguez et al., 2010).

Thus it is hypothesized that comparative evaluations of different, less-well

#### SOLTAN Y. ET AL.

researched forage legumes will yield promising candidates to reduce ruminal methanogenesis while improve the nitrogen supply compared with grasses. This hypothesis was investigated in two *in vitro* experiments, testing several common Egyptian forage legumes [prosopis (*Prosopis juliflora*), acacia (*Acasia saligna*), atriplex (*Atriplex halimus*), and leucaena (*Leucaena leucoce-phala*)] for ruminal degradability, fermentation, methanogenesis, and post ruminal protein digestibility evaluations compared with Tifton hay as the standard grass hay.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

The chemical analysis and *in vitro* assays were carried out at the Center for Nuclear Energy in Agriculture, University of Sao Paulo (Piracicaba-Brazil); all treatments and techniques used were in accordance to the Internal Commission for Environmental Ethics in Experimentation and Internal Commission of Ethics in Experimentation with Animals of CENA/USP. Two experiments were performed independently. The first experiment was designed to study the influence of forage legume plants on rumen methane production, degradation, and fermentation characteristics using an *in vitro* gas production technique. The second experiment was performed to evaluate the potential of these plants for ruminal protein degradability and post ruminal protein digestibility *in vitro*.

## Browse samples

Four Egyptian legume browses were used: fodder leaves of prosopis (*Prosopis juliflora*), acacia (*Acasia saligna*), atriplex (*Atriplex halimus*), and leucaena (*Leucaena leucocephala*). Each plant sample (branches with a diameter of 5 mm or less) had been harvested between 08.00 and 10.00 h during the wet season (February and March, 2008) while they were still green and growing in the flowering stage. About 20 kg of fresh leaves of each browse were collected on the north western coastal region of Borg El Arab, Alexandria (Egypt). In general, the climate of this region is arid Mediterranean with a scarcity of rain and high radiation. The atmospheric relative humidity ranges from 50% to 75% and the average annual rainfall is about 100-150 mm, distributed over a period of 15-25 rainy days during the wet season. The leaves were collected from 20 trees and pooled individually by browse. After oven drying at 40°C for 72 h and milling through 1 mm screen, this pool was used for the chemical analysis and the *in vitro* assays. Tifton (*Tifton-85 Cynodon* sp.) hay was used as the control grass plant and was obtained from the local Brazilian market.

## Chemical analysis

Plant samples were analysed for dry matter (DM) basis according to AOAC (1995) as for organic matter (OM), crude protein (CP, as 6.25×N), and ether extract (EE). Neutral detergent fibre (NDF) and acid detergent fibre (ADF) were measured sequentially using the same sample in filter bags and expressed exclusive of residual ash according to Van Soest (1991) and adapted to Mertens (2002), using an Ankom Fiber Analyzer. The NDF was assayed with a heat-stable amylase. Acid detergent lignin (ADL) was determined by solubilization of cellulose with sulphuric acid (72%) according to Van Soest et al. (1991). Total phenols (TP) were determined with the Folin-Ciocalteau reagent according to Makkar (2003). Total tannins (TT) were determined as the difference in TP before and after treatment with insoluble polyvinyl polypyrrolidone (PVPP) (Makkar et al., 1993), and condensed tannins (CT) were measured by the HCl-butanol method according to Makkar (2003). TP and TT were expressed as tannic acid equivalents and condensed tannins were expressed as a leucocyanid in equivalent (Makkar, 2003).

## Experiment 1

#### Inoculum donors and preparations

Six adult rumen-cannulated Santa Inês sheep (60±2.5 kg body weight) grazing a tropical grass pasture and supplemented with ground maize and soyabean meal (0.7 kg/100 kg liveweight, 20% crude protein) with free access to a mineral premix and fresh water were used as inoculum donors. Ruminal liquid and solid fractions were collected separately from each animal before the morning feeding and kept in pre-warmed thermo containers (39°C) under anaerobic conditions. A liquid fraction was obtained by using a stainless steel probe (2.5 mm screen) attached to a large capacity syringe. Similar volumes (500:500 v/v) of both fractions were blended for 10 s, squeezed through three layers of cheesecloth, and maintained in a water bath (39°C) under CO<sub>2</sub> until inoculation took place. Each forage sample was incubated in three inocula, each prepared using two animals per inoculum. The different inocula were used to avoid the individual animal effect. In each inoculum, six flasks were prepared for each plant sample: three for determining truly degraded organic matter (TDOM) and the other three for sample collections for fermentation parameters and protozoa count. The same system was used for the blank (flasks without substrate containing inoculum + medium) to correct the GP from the inoculum; an internal standard was included for each inoculum to enable adjustments among inocula. Biased incubation inocula (variations above 10%) were rejected. If inocula were within this acceptance range, standard results were used to correct all results.

#### SOLTAN Y. ET AL.

#### In vitro gas and methane production

The *in vitro* gas production (GP) assay (Theodorou et al., 1994) was adapted to a semi-automatic system (Bueno et al., 2005) using a pressure transducer and a data logger (Pressure Press Data 800, LANA, CENA/USP, Piracicaba, Brazil).

A half gram of the air-dried ground samples was incubated in serum glass flasks of a total volume of 160 ml and head space 85 ml with 50 ml of incubation medium (Menke's buffered medium) and 25 ml of inoculum. Flasks were sealed immediately with 20 mm butyl septum stoppers (Bellco Glass Inc., Vineland, NY, USA), manually mixed, and incubated at 39°C in a forced air oven (Marconi MA35, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil) for 24 h. Head space gas pressure was measured at 3, 6, 9, 12, and 24 h. Gas production was calculated by the following equation:

 $V = 7.365 \times p$  (n = 500; r<sup>2</sup> = 0.99; data not reported)

where: V - gas volume (ml); p - measured pressure (psi).

For CH<sub>4</sub> determination, 2 ml of gas were sampled at each measuring time using a 5 ml syringe (Becton Dickson Indústria Cirúrgica LTDA, Curitiba, Brazil) and stored in a 10 ml vacuum tube. After each gas sampling, flasks were vented, mixed, and returned to the oven. The methane concentration was determined using a gas chromatograph (Shimadzu 2014, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a Shincarbon ST 100/120 micro packed column (1.5875 mm OD, 1.0 mm ID, 1 m length; Ref. no 19809; Restek, Bellefonte, PA, USA) using an external calibration analytical curve (0, 30, 60, 90 and 120; ml/l) prepared with pure CH<sub>4</sub> (White Martins PRAXAIR Gases industrial Inc., Osasco, Brazil; 995 ml/l purity). The temperatures of the column, injector, and flame ionization detector were 60°C, 200°C, and 240°C, respectively. Helium at 10 ml/min was used as the carrier gas. The methane concentration was determined according to Longo et al. (2006) as follows:

 $CH_4$ , ml = (total gas, ml + headspace, 85 ml) ×  $CH_4$  concentration, ml/ml

Both GP and  $CH_4$  were expressed as ml/g IOM and ml/g TDOM and calculated by correcting the values of total gas production and incubated or truly degraded organic matter for the corresponding blank.

#### Rumen degradability and fermentation characteristics

After termination of the incubation (24 h), truly degraded organic matter (TDOM) was determined in three flasks for each inoculum by inclusion of

70 ml of neutral detergent solution (Van Soest et al., 1991) without heat stable  $\alpha$ -amylase in each flask and incubated at 105°C for 3 h. The flasks' contents were filtered in pre-weighed crucibles, washed with hot water followed by acetone, and the residual DM and ash were determined. The partitioning factor (PF) was calculated as the ratio between mg of TDOM and gas volume (ml) at 24 h incubation (Blümmel et al., 1997).

The contents of the other three flasks were used for determining fermentation characteristics. The net release values of  $NH_3$ -N were measured according to Preston (1995) using the micro-Kjeldahl method by steam distillation with sodium tetraborate solution (5%), collected in boric acid solution (20%) and determined by titration with a solution of  $H_2SO_4$  (0.05N).

Short-chain fatty acids (SCFA) were determined according to Palmquist and Conrad (1971). Rumen fluid was centrifuged (15.000 g for 10 min at 4°C) to remove large feed particles; 1.6 ml of the supernatant was centrifuged (15.000 g for 15 min at 4°C) after adding 0.4 ml solution of metaphosphoric acid (3:1) 25% and formic acid 98%-100% plus 0.2 ml of 2-ethyl-butyric acid 100 mM (internal standard, MW=116.16; Sigma Chemie Gmbh, Steinheim, Germany). After centrifugation, approximately 1.2 ml was transferred to the chromatographic vial. One  $\mu$ l was injected onto the gas chromatograph (GC HP 5890 Series II/ integrator HP 3396 Series II/automatic injector HP 6890 Series, Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA). A mixture of SCFA of known concentrations was used as the external standard for integrator calibration. Two ml of rumen fluid were mixed with 2 ml of methyl green-formalin saline solution for determination of ruminal protozoa count microscopically following the procedure described by Dehority et al. (1983).

#### Experiment 2

#### Ruminal protein degradability and post ruminal protein digestibility

A three-step *in vitro* procedure was used to estimate the rumen protein degradability and intestinal digestion of proteins according to Calsamiglia and Stern (1995) with some modifications. Four clean and dry dacron bags (18×9 cm; 520 mm pore size) were used for each experimental sample. The bags containing 3 g of substrate were incubated in one run using an *in vitro* incubator (Tecinial incubador *in vitro*, model TE-150) for 16 h with 3 different inoculums (12 replicates/plant) obtained from the same six cannulated animals of the first GP experiment. Inoculum collection and preparation of incubation medium were done following the same procedure as in the GP experiment. The *in vitro* incubator had 3 units, each unit acted as a separate inoculum and contained 1300 ml medium and 650 ml rumen inoculum. At the end of the incubation time all of the bags were washed for 1 h using cold tap water and 2 h in a washing

machine with the water being changed every 15 min, then kept in the freezer for 48 h and rewashed again in the washing machine for 2 h and dried at 50°C for 48 h. The residue in the bags was used to analyse the N that was not degraded in the rumen (rumen undegradable protein, RUP).

The residue after ruminal exposure (0.1 g) was then incubated for 1 h with a pepsin-HCl (Sigma, Chemie Gmbh, Steinheim, Germany) (pH=1.9) solution followed by a pancreatin solution (Sigma, Chemie Gmbh, Steinheim, Germany) (pH=7.8) for 24 h at 38°C. After incubation, 3 ml of trichloroactetic acid (TCA, 100%) were added to each tube, then vortexed. The tubes were left for 15 min and centrifuged at 10.000 g for 15 min. Five ml of the supernatant were taken for determination of N (intestinal digested protein - IPD) by the Kjeldahl method.

#### Statistical analysis

The experimental plants were statistically compared using a random regression design and means were compared using the Duncan test. Analysis of variance was carried out using the general linear model procedure (PROC GLM) of SAS (2002). The experimental unit in the first experiment was the composite sample of each plant species replicated in three bottles (5 plants x 3 rumen inocula x 3 bottles) and in the second experiment, the composite sample of each plant species replicated in four dacron bags (5 plants x 3 rumen inocula x 4 bags) in the second experiment.

## RESULTS

## Chemical analysis of browses

The nutrient composition and tannin content of experimental plants are shown in Table 1. The lowest OM content was observed in atriplex leaves (838 g/kg DM). In terms of CP content, all forage legumes had a higher CP content compared with the Tifton grass hay, with leucaena leaves having the highest value (268 g/kg DM). The highest contents of NDF and ADF were found for Tifton hay (803 and 461 g/kg DM, respectively), whereas acacia leaves presented the highest lignin content (163 g/kg DM). There were wide variations in the tannin content of the investigated browses, in particular TP and TT, which ranged between 6 to 103 eq-g tannic acid/kg DM and 3 to 88 eq-g tannic acid/kg DM, respectively. Leucaena and acacia leaves showed the highest content of TP and TT (103, 91, and 88, 71 eq-g tannic acid/kg DM, respectively). Acacia and leucaena leaves were rich in CT content (63 and 46 eq-g leucocynidin/kg DM, respectively), while the other investigated plants had negligible contents of TP, TT, and CT.

Item	Experimental plant							
Itelli	Tifton hay	acacia	atriplex	prosopis	leucaena			
Organic matter	898	905	838	921	931			
Crude protein	74	138	162	179	268			
Neutral detergent fibre	803	465	372	494	336			
Acid detergent fibre	461	428	223	384	228			
Lignin	65	163	144	144	60			
Total phenols <sup>1</sup>	6	91	8	29	103			
Total tannins <sup>1</sup>	0	71	4	18	88			
Condensed tannins <sup>2</sup>	0	63	0.2	0.4	46			

Table 1. Nutrient composition (g/kg DM) and tannin content of the experimental plants

<sup>1</sup>expressed as eq-g tannic acid/kg DM; <sup>2</sup>expressd as eq-g leucocyanidin/kg DM

#### Experiment 1

#### Gas and methane production

Ruminal GP and  $CH_4$  production at 24 h are shown in Table 2. The GP calculated for the incubated organic matter (ml/g IOM) was highest (P<0.0001) for atriplex and lowest for acacia, while the tanniniferous browses showed a similar decrease (P<0.0001) in GP expressed for the truly degraded organic matter (ml/g DOM) compared with the Tifton hay.

Table 2. In vitro ruminal gas production (GP) and methane production  $(CH_4)$  of the experimental plants

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Indices	Experimental plants						Р
GP, ml/g TDOM $376^{a}$ $297^{b}$ $250^{b}$ $236^{b}$ $266^{b}$ $39.70$ $<0.000$ CH, ml/g IOM $13.3^{a}$ $8.2^{b}$ $14.7^{a}$ $11.7^{ab}$ $8.5^{b}$ $2.68$ $<0.000$	malees	Tifton hay	n hay acacia atriplex prosopis leucaena		leucaena	5 DLIVI	1	
CH, ml/g IOM 13.3 <sup>a</sup> $8.2^{b}$ 14.7 <sup>a</sup> 11.7 <sup>ab</sup> $8.5^{b}$ 2.68 <0.000	GP, ml/g IOM	150 <sup>bc</sup>	125°	191ª	152 <sup>b</sup>	131 <sup>bc</sup>	18.00	< 0.0001
CH, ml/g IOM 13.3 <sup>a</sup> $8.2^{b}$ 14.7 <sup>a</sup> 11.7 <sup>ab</sup> $8.5^{b}$ 2.68 <0.000	GP, ml/g TDOM	376 <sup>a</sup>	297 <sup>b</sup>	250 <sup>b</sup>	236 <sup>b</sup>	266 <sup>b</sup>	39.70	< 0.0001
$CH^{4}$ , ml/g TDOM 26.9 <sup>a</sup> 16.7 <sup>b</sup> 19.8 <sup>ab</sup> 19.9 <sup>ab</sup> 17.4 <sup>b</sup> 5.77 0.01	CH, ml/g IOM	13.3ª	8.2 <sup>b</sup>	14.7ª	11.7 <sup>ab</sup>	8.5 <sup>b</sup>	2.68	< 0.0001
<u></u>	$CH_{4}^{4}$ , ml/g TDOM	26.9ª	16.7 <sup>b</sup>	19.8 <sup>ab</sup>	19.9 <sup>ab</sup>	17.4 <sup>b</sup>	5.77	0.01

<sup>a,b,c</sup> means within a row without a common superscript letter differ significantly; IOM - incubated organic matter; TDOM - truly degraded organic matter; SEM - standard error of means

When ranking the plants according to their methanogenic properties, acacia and leucaena showed high inhibition (by 37.9% and 35.31% based on TDOM, respectively), whereas atriplex and prosopis were similar in  $CH_4$  reduction (26% based on TDOM, respectively) compared with Tifton as the control.

#### Rumen degradability and fermentation characteristics

Tifton hay and prosopis leaves decreased (P=0.002) the PF compared with the other browses (Table 3). Acacia and Tifton hay had the lowest (P<0.0001) TDOM compared with the other plants. In the current study, the experimental plants had no significant (P>0.05) effect on pH, but ruminal NH<sub>2</sub>-N concentrations were

higher (P=0.0002) for atriplex and prosopis compared with the other plants. All browses had lower (P<0.0001) total counts of protozoa compared with the control, whereas prosopis showed the least number of protozoa followed by leucaena (2.21 and 2.56  $10^{5}$ /ml, respectively).

	- <b>I</b>		1	I				
Indices	Experimental plants						р	
multes	Tifton hay	acacia	atriplex	prosopis	leucaena	- SEM	Р	
PF	2.42 <sup>b</sup>	3.78 <sup>a</sup>	3.69ª	3.08 <sup>ab</sup>	4.12 <sup>a</sup>	0.84	0.002	
TDOM, g/kg OM	403 <sup>d</sup>	424 <sup>d</sup>	767ª	641 <sup>b</sup>	504°	54.2	< 0.0001	
рН	6.93	6.95	6.94	6.98	6.87	0.131	0.46	
NH <sub>3</sub> -N, mg/100 ml	24.7 <sup>b</sup>	24.5 <sup>b</sup>	27.4ª	30.9ª	26.4 <sup>b</sup>	2.580	0.0002	
Protozoa, 10 <sup>5</sup> /ml	3.33ª	3.04 <sup>b</sup>	3.00 <sup>b</sup>	2.21 <sup>d</sup>	2.56°	0.134	< 0.0001	
SCFA, mmol/l								
C2	45.24	45.57	44.22	46.38	41.98	4.200	0.17	
C3	11.28 <sup>b</sup>	10.80 <sup>b</sup>	10.73 <sup>b</sup>	12.22ª	11.43 <sup>ab</sup>	0.645	0.002	
C4	8.54ª	6.87 <sup>ab</sup>	7.09 <sup>ab</sup>	6.65 <sup>ab</sup>	6.50 <sup>b</sup>	1.360	0.03	
C5	1.13 <sup>a</sup>	0.86 <sup>b</sup>	0.91 <sup>ab</sup>	$0.88^{ab}$	0.89 <sup>ab</sup>	0.180	0.03	
IC4	1.011ª	0.75 <sup>b</sup>	$0.85^{ab}$	$0.84^{ab}$	0.79 <sup>b</sup>	0.130	0.01	
IC5	1.76 <sup>a</sup>	1.32 <sup>b</sup>	1.64 <sup>ab</sup>	1.31 <sup>b</sup>	1.38 <sup>ab</sup>	0.301	0.01	
Total SCFA	68.97	65.96	65.72	68.37	62.93	5.072	0.11	
C2:C3	4.01 <sup>abc</sup>	4.21 <sup>ab</sup>	4.30 <sup>a</sup>	3.79 <sup>bc</sup>	3.70°	0.301	0.004	

Table 3. Partitioning factor (PF), truly degraded organic matter (TDOM), protozoa count and rumen microbial fermentation parameters of the experimental plants

<sup>a,b,c</sup> means within a row without a common superscript letter differ significantly; SCFA - short chain-fatty acids; C2 - acetate; C3 - propionate; C4 - butyrate; C5 - valerate; IC4 - isobutyrate; IC5 - isovalerate; C2:C3 - acetate:propionate ratio; TDOM - truly degraded organic matter; SEM - standard error of means

The molar proportion of acetate was not significantly different among the experimental plants, while propionate was higher (P=0.002), with a corresponding decrease in the acetate:propionate ratio (P=0.004) with prosopis and leucaena. Among the experimental browses, leucaena decreased (P=0.03) the proportion of butyrate, whereas there were no significant differences among the plants in total SCFA.

#### **Experiment 2**

#### Ruminal protein degradability and post ruminal protein digestibility

There was a similar decrease (P<0.0001) of rumen degradable protein (RDP) between acacia and leucaena leaves, while acacia and Tifton hay presented the lowest (P<0.0001) mean values of intestinal protein digestibility (IPD) in comparison with other browses (Table 4). The ranking order of the browse species on the basis of their potential IPD was atriplex  $\geq$  prosopis>leucaena  $\geq$  control  $\geq$  acacia.

Indices		Experimental plants					
	Tifton hay	acacia	atriplex	prosopis	leucaena	SEM	P
RDP	614ª	81°	631ª	519 <sup>b</sup>	117°	5.18	< 0.0001
RUP	386°	919 <sup>a</sup>	369°	481 <sup>b</sup>	882ª	5.18	< 0.0001
IPD	416 <sup>cd</sup>	339 <sup>d</sup>	628ª	535 <sup>ba</sup>	464 <sup>bc</sup>	6.78	< 0.0001
ahad	1.4.1	1.4			1:00	C (1	DDD

Table 4. Ruminal protein degradability and post ruminal protein digestibility of the investigated plants, g/kg DM

<sup>a,b,c,d</sup> means within a row without a common superscript letter differ significantly; RDP - rumen degradable protein; RUP - rumen undegradable protein; IPD - intestinal protein digestibility; SEM - standard error of means

#### DISCUSSION

There were wide variations in the chemical composition of the investigated browses. Generally, the high CP contents of all of the forage legume browses studied compared with Tifton grass hay suggested that these legumes would be a good source of protein to improve productivity of ruminant livestock in tropical regions. In terms of CT content, that of prosopis was negligible, while Bhatta et al. (2002) reported that prosopis leaves contain 7%-11% tannins. Variations in the analytical method can lead to large variations in the final tannin results (Makkar, 2003). Acacia and leucaena leaves can be considered tanniniferous plants because of their high CT compared with the other browses.

There was a wide range within the *in vitro* GP values per unit of incubated OM and degraded OM. Atriplex showed the highest value for GP per unit of incubated OM compared with the other browses, but when expressed per unit for degraded OM, Tifton hay had the highest value, which may be due to differences in rumen degradability. García-González et al. (2008) observed that GP and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions are closely related to the amount of ruminally fermented OM or the amount of digestible OM. Thus GP and CH<sub>4</sub> are better expressed per unit degraded OM than per incubated OM. The high CH<sub>4</sub> reduction for acacia and leucaena was associated with the highest CT content, where prosopis and atriplex had negligible CT contents and still reduced the amount of  $CH_4$  by 26% (based on TDOM) compared with Tifton hay. These results suggest that the antimethanogenic properties of theses experimental legumes browses may not be related only to their tannin content, but also to other ingredients since Tifton had the highest NDF content and highest CH<sub>4</sub> production compared with all of the experimental browses. Jayanegara et al. (2009) studied the relationships between chemical constituents, including TP, TT, and CT for 17 different plant materials and found that NDF had a high correlation (r=0.86) with  $CH_4$  concentration, while a very weak relationship (r=0.09) was found between CT and CH<sub>4</sub> production.

These results confirm that improving forage quality, either through feeding

forage with a lower fibre and/or higher protein content, can reduce  $CH_4$  production (Rodríguez et al., 2010). In addition, the better synchronization of nitrogen and energy available for microbial utilization, the less  $CH_4$  is produced by forage legumes compared with forage grass (Rodríguez et al., 2010). This hypothesis is supported by the increasing PF values associated with the  $CH_4$  reduction of the experimental forage legumes compared with Tifton grass hay. Therefore, these results suggest that the forage legumes improved the efficiency of microbial protein synthesis by increasing the incorporation of ruminally degraded organic matter into microbial cells, while the percentage partitioned into  $CH_4$  was decreased. The increase in GP with Tifton could have been associated with a lower partitioning of nutrients to microbial protein synthesis (Blümmel et al., 1997).

Although acacia and Tifton were different in their tannin content and  $CH_4$  production, they showed similar decreases in the rumen TDOM values compared with the other browses (Table 3). Generally, the high CT content of acacia might have affected the ruminal OM degradability through interfering with microbial attachment to feed particles and, consequently, also inhibiting  $CH_4$  production (Barry and McNabb, 1999). On other hand, the higher NDF content of Tifton could be the reason for decreasing the ruminal TDOM and increasing  $CH_4$ . McAllister et al. (1996) found that cellulose and hemicellulose ferment at slower rates than do non-structural carbohydrates, thus yielding more  $CH_4$  per unit substrate digested. The results of the current study confirmed that not only tannin content affected rumen degradability but a higher fibre content did, too.

Even though atriplex and prosopis showed similar inhibition of  $CH_4$  per TDOM, this was not associated with similar protozoa counts or TDOM. In this sense, it seems that there were other anti-protozoal factors than tannin responsible for the reduction of the protozoa count by prosopis.

Bhatta et al. (2012) confirmed that the effects of tannin on protozoal numbers are varied, probably because some of the tannins have a direct effect on those methanogenic archaea, which are not associated with the protozoa. Accordingly, Goel and Makkar (2012) suggested that upon inhibition of protozoa, the species belonging to the *Methanobacteriaceae* (living in association with protozoa) declined with an increase in the number of free-living *Methanobacteriales*. A reduced rate of association of protozoa and methanogens could result in higher interspecies hydrogen transfer between an increased population of both hydrogen-producing bacteria (*R. flavefaciens* and *F. succinogenes*) and free-living *Methanobacteriales* and thus might remain without effect on methane production. Therefore, our results and those of Bhatta et al. (2012), as well as Goel and Makkar (2012), confirm that the unidirectional relationship between protozoal numbers and methanogenesis, as affected by tannins, is not obligatory.

The decreases in the acetate:propionate ratio, together with the increasing propionate found with prosopis and leucaena without decreasing total SCFA or pH, indicates that their  $CH_4$  reduction was mediated by directing hydrogen from  $CH_4$  to propionate and not as general fermentation inhibition (Szumacher-Strabel and Cieślak, 2010). These results suggest that the fermentation response patterns with both prosopis and leucaena are similar to the  $CH_4$  reduction obtained by ionophores (Goodrich et al., 1984). In the last few years the interest of nutritionists in bioactive plant factors that reduce  $CH_4$  emission without adversely affecting ruminal degradability or fermentation has been increasing (Szumacher-Strabel and Cieślak, 2010). Apparently, among the experimental tanniniferous browses, leucaena tannins were more favourable in this respect compared with acacia, which indicates differences in their CT types as well as activity.

The low concentrations of  $NH_3$ -N in rumen fluid found with acacia and leucaena could be attributed to the inhibition of rumen protein degradation and deamination processes by CT (Szumacher-Strabel and Cieślak, 2010; Goel and Makkar, 2012).

The association of the decrease in the ruminal NH<sub>3</sub>-H concentration and RDP for acacia and leucaena shows that the high concentration of CT decreases ruminal CP degradation mainly through formation of tannin-protein complexes that are hardly degraded by ruminal microbes (McSweeney et al., 1999). Leucaena showed a higher IPD than acacia. This may be related to differences in their CP content and in the affinity for re-binding of proteins to CT in the jejunum and ileum as the pH increases (Min et al., 2003). The reactivity between CT and proteins depends partly on the molecular weight, type of tertiary structure, and amino acid profile of the proteins (Min et al., 2003). In a review, Min et al. (2003) noted that at equivalent concentrations, different CT sources had variable effects on degradation of CP due to differences in molecular weight and chemical structure influencing the biological activity of CT.

The use of prosopis decreased RDP and increased IPD compared with atriplex, which can be associated with the decreasing TDOM. These results confirmed the antiprotozoal activity of prosopis. The described antiprotozoal activity of some of these plants is also favourable in this sense, as it may improve the efficiency of microbial protein synthesis due to suppression of the bacteriolytic activity of the ruminal protozoa (Goel and Makkar, 2012). This could increase protein flow to the duodenum by protein by-passing the rumen (Min et al., 2003). A decrease in ruminal protein degradability is beneficial for ruminants as they increase the supply of dietary nitrogen to the lower intestine for production; however, the limitation in ruminally degradable protein may result in an inadequate ruminal ammonia concentration and suboptimal microbial growth as well as fibre fermentation. Thus, a combination of such high-tannin content with highly fermentable diet ingredients

#### SOLTAN Y. ET AL.

will improve microbial protein synthesis and protect the dietary protein without adversely affecting microbial growth efficiency and overall animal productivity.

#### CONCLUSIONS

The results of the present study confirmed the higher protein and lower fibre content of selected forage legume browses compared with Tifton grass hay. Their use also reduced  $CH_4$  emission without adversely affecting ruminal fermentation and nutrient degradation. The presence of higher condensed tannins levels in acacia may affect ruminal degradability, however. Our interpretation of the obtained results is that in developing countries, leucaena and prosopis could be used as supplements to well-digestible diets in order to protect the dietary protein from microbial activity as well as to reduce  $CH_4$  production from ruminants. More studies, however, are necessary to evaluate possible synergistic effects in complete diets when combinations of these plants are used, and this must be demonstrated *in vivo*.

#### REFERENCES

- Aluwong T., Wuyep P.A., Allam L., 2011. Livestock-environment interactions: Methane emissions from ruminants. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 10, 1265-1269
- AOAC, 1995. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Official Methods of Analysis. 16<sup>th</sup> Edition. Arlington, VA
- Barry T.N., McNabb W.C., 1999. The implications of condensed tannins on the nutritive value of temperate forages fed to ruminants. Brit. J. Nutr. 81, 263-272
- Bhatta R., Baruah L., Saravanan M., Suresh K.P., Sampath K.T., 2012. Effect of medicinal and aromatic plants on rumen fermentation, protozoa population and methanogenesis *in vitro*. J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr. DOI: 10.1111/j.1439-0396.2012.01285.x
- Bhatta R., Shinde A.K., Vaithiyanathan S., Sankhyan S.K., Verma D.L., 2002. Effect of polyethylene glycol-6000 on nutrient intake, digestion and growth of kids browsing prosopis cineraria. Anim. Feed Sci. Tech. 101, 45-54
- Blümmel M., Makkar H.P.S., Becker K., 1997. *In vitro* gas production: a technique revisited. J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr. 77, 24-34
- Bueno I.C.S., Cabral Filho S.L.S., Gobbo S.P., Louvandini H., Vitti D.M.S.S., Abdalla A.L., 2005. Influence of inoculum source in a gas production method. Anim. Feed Sci. Tech. 123, 95-105
- Calsamiglia S., Stern M.D., 1995. A Three-step *in vitro* procedure for estimating intestinal digestion of protein in ruminants. J. Anim. Sci. 73, 1459-1465
- Dehority B.A., Damron W.S., Mclaren J.B., 1983. Occurrence of the rumen ciliate Oligoisotricha bubali in domestic cattle (Bos taurus). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 45, 1394-1397
- Eckard R.J., Grainger C., De Klein C.A.M., 2010. Options for the abatement of methane and nitrous oxide from ruminant production. Livest. Sci. 130, 47-56

- García-González R., López S., Fernández M., Bodas R., González J.S., 2008. Screening the activity of plants and spices for decreasing ruminal methane production *in vitro*. Anim. Feed Sci. Tech. 147, 36-52
- Goel G., Makkar H.P.S., 2012. Methane mitigation from ruminants using tannins and saponins, a status review. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 44, 729-739
- Goodrich R.J., Garnett J.E., Gast D.R., Kirick M.A., Larson D.A., Meiske J.C., 1984. Influence of monensin on the performance of cattle. J. Anim. Sci. 58, 1484-1498
- Jayanegara A., Togtokhbayar N., Makkar H.P.S., Becker K., 2009. Tannins determined by various methods as predictors of methane production reduction potential of plants by an *in vitro* rumen fermentation system. Anim. Feed Sci. Tech. 150, 230-237
- Longo C., Bueno I.C.S., Nozella E.F., Goddoy P.B., Cabral Filho S.L.S., Abdalla A.L., 2006. The influence of head-space and inoculum dilution on *in vitro* ruminal methane measurements. Int. Congr. Ser. 1293, 62-65
- Makkar H.P.S., 2003. Effects and fate of tannins in ruminant animals, adaptation to tannins, and strategies to overcome detrimental effects of feeding tannin-rich feeds. Small Ruminant Res. 49, 241-256
- Makkar H.P.S., Blümmel M., Borowy N.K., Becker K., 1993. Gravimetric determination of tannins and their correlations with chemical and protein precipitation methods. J. Sci. Food Agr. 61, 161-165
- McAllister T.A., Okine E.K., Mathison G.W., Cheng K.J., 1996. Dietary environmental and microbiological aspects of methane production in ruminants. Can. J. Anim. Sci. 76, 231-243
- McSweeney C.S., Palmer B., Bunch R., Krause D.O., 1999. Isolation and characterization of proteolyticruminal bacteria from sheep and goats fed the tannin-containing shrub legume *Calliandra calothyrsus*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 65, 3075-3083
- Mertens D.R., 2002. Gravimetric determination of amylase-treated neutral detergent fibre in feed with refluxing beakers or crucibles: collaborative study. J. AOAC Int. 85, 1217-1240
- Min B.R., Barry T.N., Attwood G.T., McNabb W.C., 2003. The effect of condensed tannins on the nutrition and health of ruminants fed fresh temperate forages: a review. Anim. Feed Sci. Tech. 106, 3-19
- Palmquist D., Conrad H., 1971. Origin of plasma fatty acids in lactating cows fed high grain or high fat diets. J. Dairy Sci. 54, 1025-1031
- Preston T.R., 1995. Biological and chemical analytical methods. In: T.R. Preston (Editor). Tropical Animal Feeding: a Manual for Research Workers. FAO, Rome
- Rodríguez R., Mota M., Castrillo C., Fondevila M., 2010. *In vitro* rumen fermentation of the tropical grass *Pennisetum purpureum* and mixtures with browse legumes: effects of tannin contents. J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr. 94, 696-705
- Sallam S.M.A.H., Bueno I.C.S., Godoy P.B., Nozella E.F., Vitti D.M.S.S., Abdalla A.L., 2010. Ruminal fermentation and tannins bioactivity of some browses using a semi-automated gas production technique. Trop. Subtrop. Agroecosyst. 12, 1-10
- SAS, 2002. Statistical Analysis System. Version 9.1.3. SAS Institute Inc. Cary, NC
- Szumacher-Strabel M., Cieślak, A., 2010.Potential of phytofactors to mitigate rumen ammonia and methane production. J. Anim. Feed Sci. 19, 319-337
- Theodorou M.K., Williams B.A., Dhanoa M.S., Mcallan A.B., France J., 1994. A simple gas production method using a pressure transducer to determine the fermentation kinetics of ruminant feeds. Anim. Feed Sci. Tech. 48, 185-197
- Van Soest P.J., Robertson J.B., Lewis B.A., 1991. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber, and non starch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. J. Dairy Sci. 74, 3583-3597